Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/548,728	EPSTEIN, MICHAEL A
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher A. Revak	2131
All Participants:	Status of Application	response after non-final
(1) Christopher A. Revak.	(3)	;
(2)	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>13 April 2005</u>	Time:	
_	☐ Applicant's representative) No	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 102 rejection based on Ananda		
Claims discussed: all		
Prior art documents discussed:  Ananda		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	HE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant to five interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant did not result in resolution of all issues. A brie</li> </ul>	eation. The examiner will provide a separate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance fithe interview, since the interview
•		
aliz/05		
	(Applicant/Applicant's Representativ	ve Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner found the applicant's arguments to be persuasive pertaining to independent claim 6, however, it was determined that independent claims 1 and 11 did not contain all the limitations as allowable independent claim 6. The examiner contacted the applicant's representative and an agreement could not be reached based on the argument of "receiving a security response when a copy of the software is removed from the receiving device."